



Interview Summary

Application No. 09/055,201

Applicant(s)

Br wn, W., Herchen, H., Welch, M.D.

Examiner

Rudy Zervigon

Group Art Unit 1763

Œ	11	K COIC	and a	ш
	Ш)) 8818)		
Ш	i ii			

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO pe	rsonnel):	
(1) Rudy Zervigon	(3)	
(2) Rowina Montoya	(4)	
Date of Interview Jul 27, 2001		
Type: a) 🕅 Telephonic b) Uideo Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2)	applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)	Mp. If yes, brief description:	
Claim(s) discussed: 1		
Identification of prior art discussed: No prior art was discussed. I did mention that the amendment and/or a search would have to be done if necessary.	t would have to be reconsidered in	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) Xvas reached. g)) was not reached. h) N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general na other comments:	ature of what was agreed to if an a	agreement was reached, or any
The phone call I made was in response to the phone message	e I received from Ms. Montoya on	7/26/01 requesting a status
update.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendme available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments thereof must be attached.)	ents which the examiner agreed w ndments that would render the cla	rould render the claims allowable, it aims allowable is available, a
i)凶 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	record of the substance of the in	terview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMA INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPI already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	EP section 713.04). If a reply to t M THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FI	he last Office action has LE A STATEMENT OF THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.